

PUBLIC HEARING

IN THE MATTER OF THE BEACH ACT RULEMAKING AMENDMENTS TO PARTS 700, 703 AND 890.

Public Hearing held at the NYS Department of Environmental Conservation, Region 8 Office, 6274 East Avon-Lima Road, Avon, New York, on June 5, 2018, commencing at 2:00 p.m., before MOLLIE T. McBRIDE, ESQ., Administrative Law Judge.

PRESENT: ANDREA J. HOBBS, Notary Public.



1	Proceedings
2	MS. McBRIDE: Good afternoon everyone.
3	We're going to get started. Thank you for coming.
4	My name is Molly McBride and I'm an administrative
5	law judge with the New York State Department of
6	Environmental Conservation.
7	I'll be presiding over today's public
8	comments session regarding the amendments of parts
9	700, 703 and 890 of Title 6 of the official
10	compilation of Codes, Rules, and Regulations of the
11	State of New York.
12	The department is proposing rulemaking to
13	amend water quality standards and the
14	classification of the upper and lower New York Bay.
15	The purpose of this hearing is for the
16	public to put unsworn statements on the record
17	regarding these proposed amendments.
18	Written comments will be accepted by the
19	department through midnight on June 12th, 2018.
20	You may submit any written comments by that date to
21	be considered by the department. The mailing
22	address for the submission of written comments is
23	available at our table here by the doorway.
24	Again, the purpose of this public hearing

here today is to allow the public to make comments



1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Proceedings

on the record. Notice for this hearing was
published in the department's environmental notice
which is an electronic newsletter on March 21st,

2018. Notice was also published in the state
register.

Today is the first of two hearings we will be holding. The second hearing will be held on Thursday at the department's Region 2 office at the E floor conference room at 47-40 21st Street, Long Island City at 2:00 p.m.

If you would like to make a comment on the record here today, I would ask that you please fill out a speaker card and we will call your name when it is time for you to make your comments on the record.

This is an opportunity for the public to give additional information for the department to consider. If you would like to submit your comments in writing, you may do so by e-mail or submit them in mail. Again, the address is available here.

Before we take comments from the public, we're going to hear from Scott Stoner from the Division of Water.



2.3

Proceedings

MR. STONER: Good afternoon. I am

Scott Stoner, chief of the standards and analytical support section in the Division of Water at the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation or DEC.

I have a short explanatory statement about the proposed rulemaking that is the subject of today's public hearing.

The DEC has proposed amendments to parts 700, 703, and 809 of Title 6 of the New York Codes, Rules, and Regulations. The purpose of this proposed rule is to improve and protect water quality and to meet the requirements of the Federal Beaches Environmental Assessment and Coastal Health or BEACH, B-E-A-C-H, Act of 2000.

Specifically, the proposed rule would add standards for E. coli for all freshwater coastal recreation waters, that is the Great Lakes, and add standards for enterococci for all marine coast recreation waters.

The proposed rule would also upgrade the classification of certain Roman Numeral One waters of upward New York Bay and a portion of lower New York Bay to Class SB to add the best use of primary



2.3

Proceedings

contact recreation to these waters.

The notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the New York State register on March 21st, 2018. A revised regulatory impact statement, regulatory flexibility analysis, and job impact statement were published in the New York State register on May 23rd reflecting updated cost information.

The DEC conducted two public information meetings for the proposed rule, one on May 1st at the DEC Region 2 office in Long Island City and the other on May 3rd at the DEC Region 8 office in Avon or right here. Thank you.

MS. McBRIDE: Thank you, Scott. I don't have any speaker cards, but if there's anyone who's here who would like to make a statement on the record, you can let me know. Is there anyone that would like to put a comment on the record? Okay.

I would remind you that you may also submit your comments in writing. Equal weight is given to written and oral comments and again, the date for the deadline of submission of written comments is June 12th, 2018, and the mailing address is available at our table here.



1	Proceedings
2	All right. And there's no one that wants to
3	make a comment so we will go off the record now.
4	We'll wait a few minutes in case anyone arrives
5	late.
6	(Discussion off the record.)
7	MS. McBRIDE: Okay. We're back on the
8	record. We went off the record for a few minutes
9	to see if anyone came in that wanted to make a
10	comment on the record.
11	Is there anyone here that would like to make
12	a comment regarding this proposed rulemaking before
13	we close the hearing record? Okay.
14	Then we'll note for the record that no one
15	has indicated they'd like to make a comment so
16	we'll close the record for today. Thank you for
17	coming out.
18	(Proceedings concluded at 2:14 p.m.)
19	* * *
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



```
1
 2
    STATE OF NEW YORK
 3
                        ss:
 4
    COUNTY OF CHAUTAUQUA )
 5
          I DO HEREBY CERTIFY as a Notary Public in and
 6
 7
    for the State of New York, that I did attend and
 8
    report the foregoing proceedings, which were taken
 9
    down by me in a verbatim manner by means of machine
10
    shorthand. Further, that the proceedings were then
11
    reduced to writing in my presence and under my
12
    direction. That the proceedings were taken to be
13
    used in the foregoing entitled action.
14
15
                            ANDREA J. HOBBS,
16
                            Notary Public.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



	2:9 4:11	amendments	4:3	considered
1		2:8,17	City	2:21
	7	4:10	3:11 5:12	contact
12th	·	analysis	G1	5 : 2
2:19 5:24	700	5 : 6	Class 4:25	~~~+
1 b		analestical	4:25	cost 5:8
1st 5:11	2:9 4:11	analytical 4:3	classificat	3:8
J:11	703	4.5	ion	-
	2:9 4:11	arrives	2:14 4:23	D
2		6 : 4	close	
	8	Assessment	6:13,16	date
2		4:15		2:20 5:22
3:9 5:12			coast	
	8	Avon	4:20	deadline
2000	5 : 13	5:13	coastal	5:23
4:16	809		4:15,18	DEC
2018	4:11	В	,	4:6,10
2:19 3:5			Codes	5:10,12,
5:5,24	890		2:10 4:11	13
	2:9	B-E-A-C-H	coli	
lst		4:16	4:18	department
3:4,10	A	back	comment	2:5,12,
5 : 5		6 : 7	3:12 5:19	19,21
23rd		Dass	6:3,10,	3:18 4:5
5 : 8	accepted 2:18	Bay 2:14	12,15	department'
2:00	2:10	4:24,25	12,10	s
3:11	Act	4.24,25	comments	3:3,9
2.11	4:16	BEACH	2:8,18,	discussion
2:14	add	4:16	20,22,25	6:6
6:18	4:17,19,	Beaches	3:15,20,	0.0
	25	4:15	23 5:21,	Division
3		1.10	22,23	3:25 4:4
<u> </u>	additional		compilation	doorway
	3:18	С	2:10	2:23
Brd	address			2,20
5:13	2:22 3:21	call	concluded	
	5:24	3:14	6:18	E
4			conducted	
<u></u>	administrat	card	5:10	e-mail
	ive	3:14		3:20
17-40	2:4	cards	conference	
3:10	afternoon	5 : 16	3:10	electronic
	2:2 4:2	an ac	Conservatio	3:4
6	amand	case	n	enterococci
	amend 2:13	6:4	2:6 4:5	4:20
	Z:13	chief		



environment	6:13	lower	5 : 3	protect
al	hearings	2:14 4:24	Numeral	4:13
2:6 3:3	3:7		4:23	public
4:5,15	3.1		4.23	_
m 1	held	M		2:7,16,
Equal	3:8		0	24,25
5:21		mail		3:17,23
explanatory	holding	3:21		4:9 5:10
4:7	3:8		office	published
		mailing	3:9 5:12,	3:3,5
	I	2:21 5:24	13	5:4,7
\mathbf{F}		make	official	J. 1, 7
		2:25	2:9	purpose
Federal	impact	3:12,15	2.0	2:15,24
	5:5,7	·	opportunity	4:12
4:14	·	5:17 6:3,	3:17	
fill	improve	9,11,15	a m a 7	put
3 : 13	4:13	March	oral	2:16 5:19
	information	3:4 5:5	5 : 22	
flexibility	3:18 5:9,			^
5 : 6	10	marine	D	Q
floor	10	4:20	P	
	Island	Non-land do		quality
3:10	3:11 5:12	Mcbride	p.m.	2:13 4:14
freshwater		2:2,4	3:11 6:18	
4 : 18		5:15 6:7		
	J	meet	parts	R
		4:14	2:8 4:10	
G	job	1 • 1 1	portion	record
	5 : 6	meetings	4:24	
~i	J. 0	5:11	1.21	2:16 3:2,
give	judge		presiding	13,16
3:18	2:5	midnight	2:7	5:18,19
Good	_	2:19	•	6:3,6,8,
2:2 4:2	June	minutes	primary	10,13,14,
	2:19 5:24	6:4,8	4 : 25	16
Great		J. 17 J	proceedings	
4:19	T	Molly	2:1 3:1	recreation
	L	2:4	4:1 5:1	4:19,21
				5 : 2
H	Lakes		6:1,18	reflecting
	4:19	N	proposed	5:8
Health	3 - 4 -		2:17 4:8,	
4 : 15	late	newsletter	10,13,17,	Region
	6 : 5	3:4	22 5:3,11	3:9 5:12 ,
hear	law	J • 1	6:12	13
3:24	2:5	note	0.12	
haarina	∠. ∪	6:14	proposing	register
hearing	Long		2:12	3:6 5:4,8
2:15,24	3:10 5:12	notice		
3:2,8 4:9		3:2,3,5		



Regulations	Specificall	3:15	2:18,20,
2:10 4:12	У	Title	22 5:22,
regulatory	4:17	2:9 4:11	23
5:5,6	standards		
	2:13 4:3,	today	Y
remind 5:20	18,20	2:25 3:7, 13 6:16	
	started		York
requirement	2:3	today's	2:5,11,14
5		2:7 4:9	4:5,11,
4:14	state		24,25
revised	2:5,11 3:5 4:5	U	5 : 4,7
5 : 5	5:4,8		
oman	J. 4, 0	unsworn	
4:23	statement	2:16	
	4:7 5:6,		
room	7,17	updated	
3:10	statements	5 : 8	
rule	2:16	upgrade	
4:13,17,	Stoner	4:22	
22 5:11	3:24 4:2,	upper	
rulemaking	3	2:14	
2:12 4:8			
5:3 6:12	Street	upward	
	3:10	4:24	
Rules 2:10 4:12	subject		
2.10 4.12	4:8	W	
	submission		
S	2:22 5:23	wait	
		6 : 4	
SB	submit	wanted	
4:25	2:20 3:19,21	wanted 6:9	
Scott	5:19,21 5:20		
3:24 4:3		water	
5:15	support	2:13 3:25	
	4:4	4:4,13	
section		waters	
4:4	т	4:19,21,	
session	-	23 5:2	
2:8	table	weight	
short	2:23 5:25	5:21	
4:7			
	Thursday	writing	
speaker	3:9	3:20 5:21	
3:14 5:16	time	written	



In the Matter Of: NY STATE DEPT OF ENVIROMENTAL CONSERVATION **PROCEEDINGS** June 07, 2018 ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SOLUTIONS 800.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL
CONSERVATIONx
In the Matter of The Proposed Amendments to 6 NYCRR 700, 703, and 890
x
June 7, 2018 2:00 p.m.
47-20 21st Street Long Island City, New York
REPORTER: Suzanne Catalano



1		
2	7 TO TO TO	
3	APPE	ARANCES:
4	 DEPARTME	NT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION Office of Hearings & Mediation Services
5		625 Broadway - 1st Floor Albany, New York 12233
6	BY•	MOLLY T. McBRIDE, Administrative Law Judge
7	D 1 *	nenn i. nenning naministrative naw saage
8	DEPARTME	NT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION Bureau of Water Assessment and Management
9		Division of Water 625 Broadway - 4th Floor
LO		Albany, New York 12233
L1	BY:	SCOTT J. STONER, MS, Chief, Standards and Analytical Support Section
L2		Analytical Support Section
L 3		
L 4		
L 5		
L 6		
L7		
L 8		
L 9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



1 Proceedings 2 MS. McBRIDE: We're going to get 3 started. Good afternoon, everyone. Can you 4 hear me okay in the back? I don't think you 5 need a microphone for me. Okay. Thank you all for coming this afternoon. My name is 6 7 Molly McBride and I'm the Administrative Law 8 Judge with the New York State Department of 9 Environmental Conservation and I'll be 10 presiding over this afternoon's public comment session. 11 12 Today we will be having a public 13 comment session regarding Amendment to Parts 14 700, 703 and 890 of Title 6 of the official 15 compilation of Codes, Rules, and Regulations 16 of the State of New York. 17 The purpose of this proposed 18 rulemaking is to amend Parts 700, 703, and 19 890 to adopt new standards to meet 20 requirements of federal law and to upgrade 21 the classifications of certain surface 2.2 waters in New York Harbor. 2.3 Written comments will be accepted by 24 the Department through June 12, 2018. 25 the mailing address of the submission of the



1	Proceedings
2	written comment is available at our sign-in
3	table here in front of the room.
4	The Department scheduled two public
5	hearings to receive comments on this
6	proposed rulemaking. The first hearing was
7	held in the Department's Region 8 office in
8	Avon, New York, on Tuesday, June 5th. And
9	we are having a second hearing here today in
10	the Department's Region 2 office.
11	Prior to the public comment
12	hearings, the Department held two
13	information sessions to meet with the public
14	and answer any questions they had regarding
15	this matter. Those meetings were held, and
16	again, in the Region 8 office and the
17	Department's Region 2 office.
18	The purpose of today's public
19	comment session is to allow the public to
20	comment on the proposed rulemaking and it is
21	not a question-and-answer session. Anyone
22	who wishes to speak today must fill out a
23	public-speaker card. The cards are
2.4	available at the DEC sign-in table here at

the front of the room. If you have not yet



1 Proceedings 2 filled out a card, but would like to make a 3 statement, please come forward and fill out a card for us. I will call the speakers in 4 5 the order that they filled out their card 6 here today. When I call the name, if I 7 mispronounce it, I apologize; please correct 8 me when you come forward. Speak into the 9 microphone when you come forward. If you are speaking on behalf of a group, please 10 11 identify the name of the group that you are 12 presenting on behalf of. 13 If you have any questions for DEC 14 staff, I'm going to ask you to please 15 address or raise those questions outside of 16 today's public comment session. We have a 17 court reporter who is making a record of 18 today's comments so I would ask that you 19 please speak loudly, slowly, and clearly. 20 If you are reading your comments from 21 something you have written down, I would ask 22 that you please hand them to me after you 2.3 have read your comments into the record; 24 that will help the court reporter. We will



not be keeping them in the DEC file.

25

It's

1 Proceedings 2 simply to help the court reporter prepare 3 the transcript so that we do have an 4 accurate record of the proceedings here 5 today. 6 If you do not wish to make an oral 7 record here today, you may submit your 8 comments in writing. Equal weight is given 9 to oral and written comments. Again, the 10 deadline for submission of written comments 11 is June 12, 2018. 12 Before we call the members of the 13 public to make their statements, I'd like to 14 ask Scott Stoner from the Division of Water 15 to give a brief presentation. 16 MR. STONER: Good afternoon. 17 you hear me okay? I'm Scott Stoner, Chief 18 of Standards and Analytical Support Section 19 in the Division of Water at the New York 20 State Department of Environmental 21 Conservation or DEC. 22 I have a short explanatory statement 2.3 about the proposed rulemaking that is the 24 subject of today's public hearing. The DEC

has proposed amendments to part 700, 703,



June 07, 2018

1	Proceedings
2	and 890 of Title 6 of the New York Codes and
3	Rules and Regulations. The purpose of this
4	proposed rule is to improve and protect
5	water quality and meet requirements of the
6	federal beaches environmental assessment and
7	coastal health parenthetical BEACH Act of
8	2000.
9	Specifically, the proposed rule
L 0	would add standards for E. coli for all
1	fresh water coastal recreational waters that
L2	is the Great Lakes and add standards for
L3	Enterococcus for all marine coast recreation
L 4	waters. The proposed rule would also
L5	upgrade the classification of certain class
L 6	Roman Numeral I waters of Upper New York Bay
L7	and a portion of Lower New York Bay to class
L 8	SB to add the best use of primary contact
L 9	recreation to these waters.
20	The notice of proposed rulemaking
21	was published in the New York State Register
22	on March 21, 2018. A revised regulatory
23	impact statement, regulatory flexibility
24	analysis, and job impact statement was

published in the New York Register on May



1 Proceedings 2 23rd reflecting updated coast information. 3 The DEC conducted two public information meetings for the proposed rule." 4 5 One on May 1st at the DEC Region 2 office in Long Island City, right here, and the other 6 7 on May 3rd at the DEC Region 8 office in 8 Avon. Thank you. 9 MS. McBRIDE: Thank you. I'm going 10 to start calling speakers. Again, I 11 apologize if I mispronounce, please correct 12 me when you come forward. Come forward and 13 speak into the microphone. First, Peter 14 Linderoth. Again, if you're representing a 15 group, please identify the group. 16 MR. LINDEROTH: Good afternoon. I'm 17 Peter Linderoth, Water Quality Program 18 Manager for Save the Sound. Save the Sound 19 is a bi-state program of Connecticut Fund 20 for the environment with the offices in 21 Mamaroneck, New York and New Haven, 22 Connecticut. Our mission is to restore and 2.3 protect Long Island Sound and its watershed. 24 I appreciate the opportunity to testify 25 today on behalf of Save the Sound and our



1 Proceedings 2 members. 3 Water quality monitoring is a core component of Save the Sound's work. One of 4 5 our monitoring programs is a seasonal fecal bacteria monitoring program, now in its 6 7 fifth year, which measures Enterococcus 8 levels at 53 stations in Esthete County and 9 Oueens. We use the fecal indicator bacteria 10 Enterococcus for this program because it is 11 an EPA preferred and recommended fecal 12 indicator for marine and freshwater which 13 allows for better comparability between 14 water bodies. 15 Save the Sound, along with many 16 other community-based water quality 17 monitoring groups in New York State, has 18 been eagerly awaiting the publication of a 19 complete Triennial Review that includes an 20 update of all New York State Water Quality 21 Standards. We know that DEC conducted a 22 Triennial Review in 2012, set a deadline for 2.3 completion to adopt the final rule in 2014, 24 but has yet to complete the process.

We are pleased to now have a



1	Proceedings
2	proposal to update NYSWQS and there are many
3	aspects of the proposed rule that we
4	strongly support. Specifically, Save the
5	Sound supports the reclassification of the
6	coastal waters of New York Harbor from Class
7	1 to Class SB. The SB classification is a
8	more accurate reflection of the primary
9	contact uses that take place in these waters
10	and will provide greater protection of
11	public health.
12	We are also very supportive of the
13	adoption of Enterococcus as the fecal
14	indicating bacteria in the coastal
15	recreational waters of the State. Recent
16	science confirms that Enterococcus is a
17	better indicator of pathogens that cause
18	human illness than Fecal Coliforms or Total
19	Coliforms, providing better public health
20	protection in primary and secondary contact
21	waterways.
22	Adopting this FIB will also result
23	in SPDES permit changes, triggering a new
24	round of investment to update wastewater

treatment facilities. These investments



1	Proceedings
2	will directly improve water quality, protect
3	public health, and support the commercial
4	shell fishing industry, an important source
5	of jobs in many New York coastal
6	communities. On behalf of the coastal
7	communities of Long Island and Westchester,
8	we thank the DEC for strengthening the
9	NYSWQS.
10	However, some of our constituents
11	have been left behind due to the narrow
12	definition of the waterways where these new
13	and improved criteria will be applied.
14	Specifically, the residents of much of
15	coastal New York City, in the Bronx, Queens,
16	and on the East River.
17	Save the Sound urges the DEC to
18	adopt Enterococcus as the FIB for all
19	primary contact recreation waters in the
20	state. Having different criteria for
21	estuary waters and streams that flow into
22	marine waters will create an unnecessarily
23	confusing and complex management situation.
24	The proposed rule should not limit
25	the use of this superior FIB to a



1	Proceedings
2	DEC-defined "recreation season." If the
3	plan is to revert to existing State criteria
4	in the off-season, that will require the use
5	of multiple FIB which is cumbersome and
6	impractical for monitoring and management
7	purposes.
8	Furthermore, we object to the longer
9	duration for evaluating water quality in the
10	proposed rule, specifically the 90-day
11	geometric mean and Statistical Threshold
12	Value. The EPA 2012 Recreational Water
13	Quality Criteria directs states to use a
14	duration for the GM and STV of no longer
15	than 30 days in order to provide the best
16	public health protection.
17	EPA further recommends that states
18	consider the number of samples evaluated in
19	order to minimize the possibility of
20	incorrect use attainment decisions. The
21	draft rule does not address the sample size
22	that will be collected. A minimum sample
23	size should be specified in the New York
24	State Water Quality Standards.
25	I thank you for the opportunity to



1	Proceedings
2	submit this testimony today on behalf of
3	Save the Sound and our 5,000-plus members.
4	Thank you.
5	MS. McBRIDE: Thank you. Lawrence
6	Levine.
7	MR. LEVINE: Thank you. My name is
8	Lawrence Levine. I'm senior attorney and
9	director of Urban Water and Structure with
10	Natural Resources Defense Council. We're a
11	national and international environmental
12	advocacy organization. We work not only at
13	those levels but also at the state and
14	regional, and local levels as well. I work
15	specifically on water quality and urban
16	water quality issues in particular. They
17	have 30,000 members in New York State alone
18	and 13,000 members in New York City. On
19	their behalf, I appreciate the opportunity
20	to testify and kind of offer our comments
21	today and we will be offering detailed
22	comments in writing next week as well.
23	I'd like to echo the last speaker,
24	and I'm sure many of the speakers you hear
25	for the rest of the afternoon as well, in



1	Proceedings
2	first supporting the reclassification of
3	certain waters in the harbor and of finally
4	modernizing the indicator bacteria for
5	waters of E. coli bacteria to Enterococcus
6	bacteria, which has been the federally
7	recognized appropriate standards since 1986,
8	which is 32 years ago. That is an important
9	step forward. But it is not by itself
10	sufficient. Simply identifying the correct
11	indicators is not the same as what the
12	standard is of the maximum indicator that is
13	deemed safe and protective of human health.
14	Moreover, that indicator bacteria is not
15	proposed in this rule to be applied to all
16	of the waters where it needs to be applied.
17	I will go through a few points in
18	summary. First of all, DEC should adopt
19	criteria that match or exceeds EPA's 2012
20	recreational water criteria and should apply
21	all waters in the state designated for
22	primary contact recreation, not only to the
23	waters that fit the Federal Beach Act
24	definition of personal recreation waters.
25	EPA has said that explicitly to the DEC in a



1	Proceedings
2	letter dated May 9, 2016. And EPA
3	explicitly said, more recently said, in a
4	letter on March 7, 2018, which also said in
5	the earlier letters as well that Class 1 and
6	Class SD waters in New York City, in
7	particular, need to be covered. EPA has
8	spoken directly to those particular waters
9	which include most of the waters in New York
LO	State left out from this proposal because
L1	they are Class SD or Class I, left out are
L2	the Hudson River, East River, Harlem River,
L3	Arthur Kill, Bronx River, Hutchinson River,
L 4	Westchester Creek, Flushing Bay, Flushing
L5	Creek, Alley Creek, Gowanus Canal, Newtown
L6	Creek, Coney Island Creek, that all should
L7	be carried to Jamaica Bay all of which are
L 8	fouled by bacteria and sewage and polluted
L 9	run-off when it rains in New York City. For
20	these waters, the proposal leaves in place
21	the decade-old standard that EPA, as I said,
22	directed the state to update.
23	The State has also said under the
24	General Clean Water Act principles that the
2.5	water quality criteria must be sufficient to



1	Proceedings
2	support and protect the designated uses.
3	These same standards need to apply to
4	primary contact waters statewide. It really
5	doesn't matter where someone is recreating.
6	They are going to get sick just as much if
7	they're in coastal water or great lake
8	waters if the water is polluted. And the
9	same science and same standards are equally
10	applicable inland.
11	Also, I note even if DEC limits this
12	proposal to BEACH Act, quote/unquote BEACH
13	Act waters, defined as coastal recreation
14	waters from the BEACH Act, the rule is still
15	too narrow. The said definition in federal
16	law excludes that waters that are upstream
17	of the mouth of a river, that's the boundary
18	line between coastal and non-coastal and
19	that particular definition. This proposal
20	considers the East River and the Harlem
21	River to be excluded. That East River
22	includes Flushing Bay as on the bounds of
23	the East River, the western part of Long
24	Island Sound. Those are tidal straights,
25	the Fast River and the Harlem River They



1	Proceedings
2	are not rivers by scientific definition.
3	Those water bodies are not upstream of the
4	mouth of the river. They're not excluded.
5	They're rather included in definition in the
6	Federal BEACH Act in the coastal recreation
7	waters. So that's in terms of the scope of
8	where this rule applies.
9	Secondly, the timing in which this
10	rule applies, as the last speaker said,
11	should not be limited to a recreation season
12	that's defined by default in this proposal,
13	which is May to October and especially
14	should not be subject to modification of
15	that recreation season of when the rule
16	applies by DEC on a unilateral basis without

18 changing a rule or seeking a variance if 19 needed. The proposal includes language that 20 would allow DEC to unilaterally change that

actually going through a process of a

21 that recreation season on a case-by-case

22 basis that's not appropriate.

> The stringency of the standards the numeric limits included, rely on the weaker of the two options offered in EPA's 2012



17

23

24

25

June 07, 2018

1	Proceedings
2	Recreational Water Quality Criteria. The
3	proposal gives no reason for selecting the
4	less protective option. I would note that
5	the DEC has previously said that it intended
6	to select the more protective option.
7	There's no explanation of why that has
8	changed. Similarly, the proposal relies on
9	a 90-day geometric mean to measure
LO	compliance with that numeric standard. That
L1	is directly at odds with EPA's Recreational
L2	Water Quality Criteria from 2012 which
L3	provide for a 30-day geometric mean and
L 4	those criteria don't give an option between
L5	30 and 90 days. But DEC has nonetheless
L6	proposed a 90-day geometric mean that's less
L7	protective and it allows temporary spikes in
L 8	bacteria levels that follow wet weather
L 9	events, follow rainfall. It allows those
20	spikes to be mapped more easily by a larger
21	number of cleaner samples. It measures
22	again a 90-day period. That is not
23	appropriate when we're talking about
24	protecting human health from acute exposure
2.5	and biotic pollution, which is what bacteria



1 Proceedings 2 in our waters is typically is. 3 The proposal also does not include a 4 minimum sampling frequency to govern how 5 that geometric mean is calculated. current Fecal Coliform rules actually do 6 7 have a minimum frequency because they do 8 have a similarly have a geometric mean. The 9 current rules have a minimum frequency of five samples per 30 days. 10 11 I'll note that the Department of 12 Health sanitary code for beach testing also 13 includes five samples per 30 days, also 14 includes a 30-day geometric mean, I would 15 say. There is no reason for DEC's 16 regulations to be inconsistent with that. They both are intended to protect public 17 18 health when people are recreating in the 19 water. It doesn't matter if it's at a beach 20 or not. 21 For similar reasons the sampling, 22 whether it's at a beach or not, should be 2.3 done near-shore, which is where people come 24 in contact with the water and that needs to



be how compliance is measured and that

1 Proceedings 2 should also be specified in the rule. 3 Finally, there are several provisions in the rule that actually weaken 4 or they tend to include that standard as 5 well year-round to allow DEC to unilaterally 6 7 shorten that season, as, again, with 8 Enterococcus and E. coli. And it again, 9 allows permittees to circumvent the strict 10 procedures to obtain a variance if DEC 11 simply determines that disinfection is not 12 necessary to protect public health; that's 13 the quote from the proposal. No rationale 14 is given for that since the entire purpose 15 for this rule is to protect public health 16 and to recognize bacteria above certain 17 limits does not protect public health. 18 There should not be a provision in here that 19 allows DEC unilaterally to deviate from that 20 and especially not one that allows DEC to do 21 that without going through proper process of 22 actually changing the standard or actually 2.3 adopting a variance which require public 24 hearing or require approval by EPA. Those 25 are the issues we sincerely hope that DEC



1	Proceedings
2	will address each of these and will
3	strengthen the proposed rule before
4	finalizing and adopting it. That's
5	necessary to protect the public health.
6	That's something the public people who
7	recreate in the water, organizations who
8	restored our water for years, if not
9	decades, and it's something more that the
10	EPA has said to the State the State needs to
11	do. Thank you.
12	MS. McBRIDE: Thank you. Eymund
13	Diegel.
14	MR. DIEGEL: My name is Eymund
15	Diegel. I'm on the board of directors of
16	the Gowanus Dredgers Canoe Club. And we
17	provide free boating to the community and I
18	have such a direct stake in the rules that
19	you're proposing in the water quality of the
20	boating community we serve.
21	When I first came to New York City,
22	it was from South Africa where poverty
23	forces poor people to get pee and pooh
24	directly into their rivers. And I was a bit
25	baffled that the richest, most powerful city



1	Proceedings
2	in the world still does the same, that I'm
3	here today to ask that we set a water
4	quality standard that matches first world
5	city. However, that being said, over the
6	last decade the city and the state has made
7	huge improvements in our water quality
8	standard, something that I feel we need to
9	protect by setting the standards and the bar
10	higher. In this case the Enterococcus
11	supported by the EPA and we apply this, not
12	just parts of our recreation water bodies
13	but to all parts. The five most polluted
14	water bodies, if we fix those, we fix the
15	whole harbor. And as someone who runs 1500
16	canoe club trips on the canal every year, we
17	know directly what Coney Island whitefish
18	are all about. We know directly what Class
19	SD means. And we want that higher
20	swimmable-water-quality standard, however
21	crazy it sounds.
22	This morning I took water quality
23	samples using the Enterococcus standards.
24	And I ask that DEC support that standard so
25	that we have a higher standard quality norm.



1	Proceedings
2	And I ask that we use a standard year-round.
3	The city and the state are spending over 1.7
4	billion dollars clearing up the Gowanus
5	Canal site. And I would ask that the
6	standards protect that investment in the
7	interest of water bodies of the City as a
8	whole. Thank you.
9	MS. McBRIDE: Thank you. Erin
10	Doran.
11	MS. DORAN: Erin Doran on behalf of
12	Riverkeeper. Thank you for this opportunity
13	to provide comments on the Department's
14	proposed Rule. Riverkeeper is a
15	member-supported watchdog organization
16	dedicated to defending the Hudson River and
17	its tributaries. We also monitor and
18	protect the drinking water supply of nine
19	million New York City and Hudson Valley
20	residents.
21	As an initial matter, we
22	appreciate that the Department is proposing
23	to adopt water quality criteria based on
24	Enterococcus as the fecal indicator bacteria
25	for at least some waterways. Riverkeeper



1 Proceedings 2 also appreciates that the proposal to 3 reclassify certain waters from Class I to Class SB would result in more stringent 4 5 dissolved-oxygen standards for those waterways. However, while the proposed rule 6 7 is a step in the right direction, it does 8 not go far enough to protect people and 9 wildlife from pollution. 10 Nearly every time it rains, raw 11 sewage overflows into waterways around New 12 York City and in other places that have infrastructure. In order to effectively 13 14 address this problem, we need standards that 15 are based on recent science and designed to 16 protect people who come into contact with 17 contaminated waters. Therefore, we urge the 18 Department to adopt water quality standards 19 consistent with the EPA's 2012 Recreational 20 Water Quality Criteria for all primary 21 contact recreation waters in the Sate. 22 Although the Department's 2.3 proposed rule includes some aspects of the 24 2012 Recreational Water Quality Criteria, 25 the new bacteria standards would only apply



1	Proceedings
2	to a limited set of waterways. That means
3	other waterways around New York City and
4	throughout the State would still be subject
5	to the Department's old,
6	scientifically-indefensible standards. In
7	addition, even the proposed new standards
8	fall short of EPA's recommendation.
9	First, the scope of the proposed
10	rule is insufficient. EPA has made it clear
11	that the Department should adopt water
12	quality standards consistent with the 2012
13	Recreational Water Quality Criteria for all
14	primary contact recreation waters in the
15	State, but the proposed rule fails to do so.
16	The only New York City waterways that would
17	be subject to the new Enterococcus standards
18	are coastal recreational waters of Class SA
19	and SB waterways, even though the
20	Department's regulations state that all
21	classes of saline-surface waters shall at
22	least be suitable for primary contact
23	recreation. The proposed rule similarly
24	limits the applicability of the new E. coli
25	standards for freshwater. In accordance



1	Proceedings
2	with EPA's clear directives, we urge the
3	Department to adopt water quality standards
4	consistent with the 2012 Recreational Water
5	Quality Criteria for all primary contact
6	recreation waters in the State.
7	Second, the Department should not
8	limit the applicability of the new bacteria
9	standards to the primary contact recreation
10	season. EPA's 2012 Recreational Waters
11	Quality Criteria do not provide for seasonal
12	limitations, and the Department has not
13	provided any rationale for applying the new
14	criteria on a seasonal basis, from May to
15	October, in certain waterways. The seasonal
16	limitation is particularly troubling in
17	light of the proposed rule's definition of
18	the primary contact recreation season, which
19	would allow the Department to unilaterally
20	shorten the season on a case-by-case basis.
21	We urge the Department to revise the
22	proposed rule so that the new bacteria
23	standards apply year-round.
24	Third, the Department should revise
25	the new bacteria standards to better protect



1	Proceedings
2	human health. EPA's 2012 Recreational Water
3	Quality Criteria are based on recent science
4	and designed to protect human health. While
5	the Department must, at a minimum, adopt
6	standards consistent with the 2012
7	Recreational Water Quality Criteria, we urge
8	the Department to adopt EPA's recommended
9	criteria that are most protective of human
10	health. Specifically, the Department should
11	adopt Enterococcus criteria with a geometric
12	mean of 30 colony-forming units per 100
13	milliliters, and a statistical threshold
14	value of 110 cfu per 100 milliliters.
15	Additionally, consistent with the 2012
16	Recreational Water Quality Criteria, the
17	Enterococcus criteria should be based on a
18	30-day, rather than a 90-day, interval. The
19	proposed rule could also better protect
20	human health by imposing a monitoring
21	frequency for the new bacteria standards and
22	specifying that sampling locations be
23	near-shore to better capture the conditions
24	where people are most likely to come into
25	contact with contaminated waterways.



1 Proceedings 2 Fourth and finally, the Department 3 should not weaken the existing Total and 4 Fecal Coliform criteria. The proposed rule 5 erodes the existing criteria by imposing a seasonal limitation, similar to the one 6 7 discussed previously for the new bacteria 8 standards, and by allowing permittees to 9 circumvent variance procedures by 10 demonstrating that disinfection is not 11 necessary to protect human health. 12 existing criteria are already outdated, and 13 the Department should not water them down 14 further with this proposed rule. 15 In sum, we urge the Department to 16 adopt year-round standards that are 17 consistent with EPA's 2012 Recreational 18 Water Quality Criteria and that better 19 protect human health for all primary contact 20 recreation waters in the State. Thank you. 21 MS. McBRIDE: Thank you Korin 22 Tangtrakul. 2.3 MS. TANGTRAKUL: My name is Korin 24 Tangtrakul and I am a member of the 25 Stormwater Infrastructure Matters or SWIM



1	Proceedings
2	Coalition steering committee. Thank you for
3	the opportunity to submit public testimony
4	on the New York State Department of
5	Environmental Conservation's proposed
6	rulemaking to amend water quality standards
7	for some New York City waterways.
8	SWIM is a diverse group of more
9	than 70 community-based, citywide, regional,
10	and national organizations, water recreation
11	user groups, institutions of higher
12	education, scientists, citizens and
13	businesses who advocate for the health of
14	New York City's vital waterways. SWIM is
15	dedicated to ensuring swimmable and fishable
16	waters around New York City through natural,
17	sustainable stormwater management practices
18	or green infrastructure in our
19	neighborhoods.
20	The DEC existing standards rely on
21	outdated indicators for bacteria
22	contamination. New York State is one of the
23	few remaining states in the nation that has
24	not yet adopted the EPA's 2012 Recreational
25	Water Quality Criteria. These



1	Proceedings
2	nationally-applicable standards are based on
3	Enterococcus as opposed to the outdated use
4	of the fecal coliform-based testing methods.
5	Enterococcus more accurately reflects
6	primary contact recreation safety, compared
7	to coliform and E. coli; is comparable
8	across fresh, salt brackish waters; and,
9	perhaps most importantly, is a federally
10	required minimum water quality criterion for
11	waters like those in New York City that are
12	polluted by Combined Sewer Overflows and
13	stormwater runoff.
14	Although DEC's proposed new
15	standards are based on more reliable
16	indicators, they would only apply to a
17	limited set of waterways. That means other
18	waters would still be subject to DEC's old,
19	scientifically indefensible standards. In
20	addition, even the proposed new standards
21	fall short of the Environmental Protection
22	Agency's recommended recreational water
23	quality criteria, which are designed to
24	protect human health. We believe DEC must
25	do better.



1	Proceedings
2	Specifically, our concerns are as
3	follows:
4	First, The proposed rule is too
5	limited in geographic scope. Modern,
6	protective standards are needed for all NYC
7	waters. DEC should adopt bacteria standards
8	for Enterococcus consistent with EPA's 2012
9	RWQC for all primary contact recreation
_ 0	waters in the state, including class SD and
L1	I waters. This includes all marine and
L2	estuarine waters in New York City, including
L3	major rivers and tributaries. In New York
L 4	City, the proposed rule coversly only the
L5	harbor south of Governor's Island, Raritan
L 6	Bay south of Staten Island, the Atlantic
_7	Ocean, Jamaica Bay, and Long Island Sound to
L8	the east of the Throgs Neck Bridge. The
L9	proposal leaves out the Hudson River, East
20	River, Harlem River, the north and west
21	shores of Staten Island, Gowanus Canal,
22	Newtown Creek, Coney Island Creek, and
23	tributaries of Jamaica Bay, all of which are
24	tidal waters fouled by bacteria from sewage
25	and polluted runoff when it rains. For



1 Proceedings 2 these waters, the proposal leaves in place 3 decades-old standards, which EPA has directed the state to update because they do 4 5 not reflect current science and do not protect public health. The exclusion of 6 7 these waters is unjustified. 8 The proposed rule should not limit 9 the applicability of the new bacteria 10 standards to a DEC-defined recreation 11 season. DEC proposes that the standards 12 will be applicable only during the 13 recreation season from May to October. 14 Moreover, DEC could alter these dates at its 15 discretion. EPA's 2012 Recreation Water 16 Quality Criteria do not provide for seasonal 17 standards, but instead impose standards 18 year-round. People engage in primary 19 contact activities outside of the defined 20 recreation season that put them in contact 21 with these water bodies. Even if seasonal 2.2 standards were appropriate, DEC should not 2.3 be allowed to unilaterally alter the defined 24 recreational season on a case-by-case basis, 25 as the draft rule would allow. A variable



1	Proceedings
2	recreation season, in which not only are
3	exceedances of water quality standards
4	acceptable in the off season, but
5	exceedances could be acceptable during what
6	DEC typically considers the recreation
7	season, will confuse and endanger those who
8	use the water year-round, especially during
9	the weeks surrounding what DEC proposes to
10	define as a recreational season. DEC should
11	impose bacteria standards year-round.
12	The new bacteria standards should be
13	more stringent to better protect human
14	health. DEC should adopt and Enterococcus
15	limit of 30 colony forming units per 100
16	milliliters geometric mean and 110 colony
17	forming units per 100 milliliters
18	statistical threshold value, which is more
19	protective of two alternatives in the 2012
20	Recreation Water Quality Criteria, rather
21	than 35 colony forming units per 100
22	milliliters for the geometric mean and 130
23	statistical threshold value, which is the
24	less protective in the 2012 Recreation Water
25	Quality Criteria.



Proceedings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

DEC should also adopt a 30-day, instead of a 90-day, geometric mean, so that spikes in bacterial levels that occur after a rainfall are not so easily averaged-out by cleaner samples taken over a long period of EPA's 2012 Recreation Water Ouality time. Criteria require such a 30-day geometric mean. To prevent regulated entities from gaming the system, DEC must specify a minimum sampling frequency for Enterococcus of at least five samples per 30 days. would ensure that samples are spread over a representative sample of weather conditions and that there are enough samples to determine compliance with the statistical threshold value criterion, which provides that no more than 10 percent of individual samples within the averaging period may exceed a certain bacteria level. It is due to this same reasoning that the existing Fecal Coliform standards provide a minimum sampling frequency. There is no justification to promulgate the proposed Enterococcus standards without such a



1	Proceedings
2	mandated frequency. Additionally, DEC
3	should specify that sampling locations must
4	be near-shore so that the results accurately
5	reflect the conditions where people are most
6	likely to come into contact with the
7	waterway, rather than the center of the
8	channel that may fail to identify effects
9	from local CSOs.
10	The proposed rule improperly weakens
11	the existing total and Fecal Coliform
12	standards. The rule would limit the
13	applicability of existing standards to the
14	primary contact recreational season,
15	allowing DEC to unilaterally shorten the
16	defined May through October recreational
17	season on a case-by-case basis. The
18	proposed rule would also allow permittees to
19	circumvent the strict procedures to obtain a
20	variance from standards, including EPA
21	approval, based on a determination by DEC
22	that disinfection is not necessary to
23	protect human health. DEC must not roll
24	back existing protections for these waters.
25	Thank you again for the opportunity



1	Proceedings
2	to provide this testimony for the public
3	record. We concur with and support the
4	testimony of our fellow advocacy
5	organizations Riverkeeper, Natural Resources
6	Defense Council, the Bronx River Alliance
7	and Save the Sound.
8	MS. McBRIDE: Thank you. Amy
9	Motzny.
10	MS. MOTZNY: My name is Amy Motzny.
11	I am the Watershed Project manager for the
12	Gowanus Canal Conservancy. The Gowanus
13	Canal Conservancy is dedicated to
14	facilitating the development of a resilient,
15	vibrant, open, space network centered on the
16	Gowanus Canal through activating and
17	empowering community stewardship of the
18	Gowanus Watershed. Since 2006, we have
19	served as the environmental steward for the
20	neighborhood through leading grassroots
21	volunteer project; educating students on
22	environmental issues and working with
23	agencies, elected officials and the
24	community to advocate for, build and
25	maintain innovative green infrastructure



June 07, 2018 37

1	Proceedings
2	around the Gowanus Canal.
3	Thank you for the opportunity to
4	submit public testimony on the New York
5	State Department of Environmental
6	Conservation's proposed rulemaking to amend
7	water quality standards for some New York
8	City waterways.
9	In agreement with SWIM Coalition and
L O	other New York City water organizations and
1	stakeholders, the Gowanus Canal Conservancy
L2	supports the DEC's decision to adopts new
L3	water quality standards based on more
L 4	reliable indicators based on Enterococcus
L5	species, rather than the outdated use of
L 6	Fecal Coliform. However, we similarly feel
L7	that the proposed amendments:
L 8	Fall short of the EPA's 2012
L 9	Recreation Water Quality Criteria for all
20	primary contact recreation waters in the
21	State, which are designed to protect human
22	health; are severely limited in their
23	geographic scope, leaving out the Gowanus
24	Canal and other impaired water bodies in the
25	region; should not be limited in their



1	I	Proc	cee	edings
2	applicability	t.o	а	DEC-d

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

applicability to a DEC-defined recreation season; should be more stringent to better protect human health.

The Gowanus Canal Conservancy urges DEC to extend new water quality standards that are consistent with the EPA's recommendations and based on Enterococcus and E. coli to the Gowanus Canal and other regional waterways including, Hudson River, East River, Harlem River, the north and west shores of Staten Island, the Bronx River, Hutchinson River, Westchester Creek, Flushing Bay, Flushing Creek, Alley Creek, Newtown Creek, Coney Island Creek, and tributaries of Jamaica Bay, all of which are fouled by bacteria from sewage and polluted runoff when it rains. The Gowanus Canal alone receives more than 377 mg of combined sewage overflow throughout the entire year, demonstrating a critical need for year-round water quality compliance. The Gowanus Canal is home to an active boating community and serves a diverse and engaged community that is facing rapid growth and immense change.



1	Proceedings
2	The people of Gowanus deserve a clean,
3	healthy and accessible water body that
4	promotes public health and supports
5	year-round recreation. Thank you.
6	MS. McBRIDE: Thank you. Edith
7	Kantrowitz.
8	MS. KANTROWITZ: My name is Edie
9	Kantrowitz. I am with New York City Friends
10	of Clearwater, and with United for Action.
11	I would like to commend the DEC's decision
12	to propose new water quality standards for
13	some New York waterways. However, these
14	standards, while they appear to be a step in
15	the right direction, need to be more
16	stringent, and more widely encompassing, if
17	we are to protect humans and wildlife from
18	sewage pollution in the waterways around New
19	York City, and throughout the State.
20	It does not make sense to implement
21	bacteria standards that only apply to a
22	defined recreation season from May to
23	October. New Yorkers engage in recreational
24	and other activities throughout the year
25	that may put them in contact with the



1 Proceedings 2 rivers, bays and other waters that are part 3 of our city. The currently proposed draft also allows the DEC to unilaterally change 4 5 the time period in which water quality standards apply on a case-by-case basis. 6 7 This philosophy of time limitation is 8 actually a step backwards, it is not 9 recommended by the EPA, and it is 10 unacceptable if we are to take our water 11 quality protection seriously. All DEC 12 bacteria standards, without exception, 13 should apply year-round. 14 The current proposal also calls for 15 a 90-day geometric mean sampling period for 16 Enterococcus and E. coli standards. This is 17 not sufficient sampling frequency; in fact, 18 the EPA has recommended a 30-day geometric 19 standard, and this is the frequency that 20 should be adopted. This more frequent 21 sampling is essential to help ensure that 22 spikes in bacterial count which may take 2.3 place after a rainfall are not obscured and 24 averaged out by readings taken on cleaner 25 days during the 90-day period.



1 Proceedings

2.3

The Enterococcus standards should also specify at least five samples per 30-day period. Currently, this requirement only applies to E. coli. Furthermore, the Enterococcus standard should adopt the more protective values suggested by EPA, a limit of geometric mean 30 and statistical threshold value 110, rather than the less protective values of geometric mean 35 and statistical threshold 130.

Also, DEC should require that samples be taken near the shore, since that is where people are most likely to come into contact with the water.

Another major problem with the proposed standards is that they do not cover all of the water in and surrounding New York City. The currently proposed rule would apply only to New York Harbor south of Governor's Island, Raritan Bay south of Staten Island, the Atlantic Ocean, Jamaica Bay, and Long Island Sound to the east of Throgs Neck Bridge. It would not include the Hudson River, the Hutchinson River,



1 Proceedings 2 Westchester Creek, Flushing Bay and Flushing 3 Creek, Alley Creek, Gowanus Canal, Newtown Creek, Coney Island Creek, and the 4 5 tributaries of Jamaica Bay. 6 These waterways, however, are used 7 by New Yorkers for recreational and other 8 purposes, and they are typically fouled by 9 sewage and other pollution after it rains. 10 Yet under the current proposal they would be 11 covered only by the existing outdated 12 standards based on total and fecal coliforms 13 and would not benefit from the new 14 standards. We deserve adequate protection 15 for all of our waters. In fact, the EPA has 16 actually directed the State to update the 17 older standards because they do not reflect 18 current scientific knowledge, and they do 19 not adequately protect public health. 20 The DEC should therefore protect New 21 York's waters by adopting bacteria standards 22 consistent with the EPA's 2012 Recreational 2.3 Water Quality Criteria. These more 24 stringent criteria should apply to all of



our waterways, and they should apply

25

1 Proceedings 2 throughout the entire year. Thank you for 3 this opportunity. 4 MS. McBRIDE: Thank you. Matt 5 Malina. MR. MALINA: Good afternoon. 6 7 name is Matt Malina. I'm the director of 8 NYC H2O. We're a nonprofit that provides 9 education programs for thousands of New 10 Yorkers teaching them about the New York 11 City water system and ecology. We bring 12 hundreds of students and families to beaches 13 all around New York City and in direct 14 contact with the water. So to protect the 15 kids that we work with and our staff, we ask 16 that the DEC use the Enterococcus test as a 17 standard. It's more modern science, use the 18 same standards for all the water body. 19 testing should be done all year round, not 20 just the recreation season. The fish are 21 living in there all the year round. And the 22 30-day geometric means should be adopted, 2.3 not the 90-day to smooth over any spikes in 24 any pollution or sewage getting in the 25 waters.



1 Proceedings

2.3

Why is more testing something we're in favor of? The more data you have, the more better chance you'll have in finding illegal sewer connections, which unfortunately still happen today. There was a very bad one found in Coney Island Creek a few months ago that was coming in from a large apartment complex called the Beach Haven Apartments. It took, not the DEC, but it took the DEP almost six months to figure out where the sewage was coming from. So having more data will help to find those illegal connections.

I will note that there is still illegal connections and raw sewage floating into the Coney Island Creek. And the DEP still has not found it. So the more clues they have, the more testing can happen, the better shot that we'll be able to nip that in the bud.

I'll also add that, NYC's beneficiary of an environmental benefit program through the DEC, Atlantic Creek, where there was an illegal sewer connection



1	Proceedings
2	and thanks to testing and the good work you
3	and your colleagues do, they were able to
4	stop that. And we are then able to provide
5	education programs to teach kids about the
6	creek and how stormwater can be managed in a
7	natural way, so more testing. Thank you.
8	MS. McBRIDE: Thank you.
9	That was our last speaker card.
10	Anyone else here would like to make a
11	comment on the record? I'll remind you that
12	the comment period stays open until June 12,
13	2018. So you may submit written comments
14	until that day. And we have the contact
15	information at the table here near the
16	doorway. Thank you all for coming out here
17	today.
18	(Time noted: 2:50 p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	Proceedings
2	CERTIFICATE
3	I, SUZANNE CATALANO, a
4	shorthand reporter and Notary Public within
5	and for the State of New York, do hereby
6	certify:
7	That the within proceedings is
8	a true and accurate record of the
9	stenographic notes taken by me.
10	I further certify that I am not
11	related to any of the parties to this action
12	by blood or marriage, and that I am in no
13	way interested in the outcome of this
14	matter.
15	Juganne Catalano
16	Magara action
17	SUZANNE CATALANO
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



	36:18	41:8	29:9	25 : 25
1	2012	30,000	700	accurate
	9:22	13:17	3:14,18	6:4 10:8
	12:12		6 : 25	
10:7 15:5	14:19	30-day		accurately
	17 : 25	18:13	703	30:5 35:4
.7	18:12	19:14	3:14,18	Act
23:3	24:19,24	27:18	6 : 25	7:7 14:23
0	25:12	34:2,8		15 : 24
34:18	26:4,10	40:18	8	16:12,13,
00	27:2,6,15	41:4		— 14 17 : 6
27:12,14	28:17	43:22	8	Action
33:15,17,	29:24	32	4:7,16	39:10
21	31:8	14:8	8:7	
21	32 : 15	35	0.7	activating
10	33:19,24	33:21	890	36:16
27:14	34 : 7	41:10	3:14,19	active
33:16	37 : 18		7:2	38:23
41:9	42:22	377		
2	2014	38:19	9	activities
3:24 6:11	9:23	3rd		32:19
45:12		8 : 7	•	39:24
	2016		9	acute
3,000	15 : 2		15:2	18:24
13:18	2018	5	90	add
30	3:24 6:11		18:15	7:10,12,
33:22	7:22 15:4	5,000-plus	90-day	18 44:22
41:11	45:13	13:3	12:10	
500	0.1	53	18:9,16,	addition
22:15	7.22	9:8	22 27:18	25:7
	7 : 22		34:3	30:20
986	23rd	5th	40:15,25	Additionall
14:7	8:2	4:8	43:23	У
st	2:50			27:15
8:5	45:18	6		35:2
	10120		A	<pre>— address</pre>
		6		3:25 5:15
2	3	3:14 7:2	acceptable	12:21
		3.14 /:2	33:4,5	21:2
	30		accepted	24:14
4:10,17	12:15	7	3:23	
8:5	18:15			adequate
000	19:10,13	7	accessible	42:14
7:8	27:12	15:4	39:3	adequately
	33:15		accordance	42:19
006	34:12	70		



Administrat	afternoon's	Apartments	assessment	31:7,24
ive	3:10	44:10	7:6	32:9
3 : 7	aganaiaa	analogi sa	Atlantic	33:11,12
- al 4-	agencies 36:23	<pre>apologize 5:7 8:11</pre>	31:16	34:20
adopt 3:19 9:23	30:23	3:/ 8:11		38:17
	Agency's	applicabili	41:22	39:21
11:18	30:22	ty	44:24	40:12
14:18		25:24	attainment	42:21
23:23	agreement 37:9	26:8 32:9	12:20	
24:18	37:9	35:13		bacterial
25:11	Alley	38:2	attorney	34:4
26:3	15 : 15		13:8	40:22
27:5,8,11	38:14	applicable	averaged	bad
28:16	42:3	16:10	40:24	44:7
31:7		32:12	•	
33:14	Alliance	applied	averaged-	baffled
34:2 41:6	36:6	11:13	out	21:25
adopted	allowed	14:15,16	34:5	bar
29:24	32:23		averaging	22:9
40:20		applies	34:19	
43:22	allowing	17:8,10,		based
43:22	28:8	16 41:5	Avon	23:23
adopting	35 : 15	apply	4:8 8:8	24:15
10:22	alter	14:20	awaiting	27:3,17
20:23	32:14,23	16:3	9 : 18	30:2,15
21:4	•	22:11	3 (11 0	35:21
42:21	alternative	24:25		37:13,14
	S	26:23	В	38:8
adoption	33:19	30:16		42:12
10:13	amend		back	1
adopts	3:18 29:6	39:21	3:4 35:24	basis
37 : 12	37:6	40:6,13		17:16,22
		41:20	backwards	26:14,20
advocacy	Amendment	42:24,25	40:8	32:24
13:12	3:13	applying	bacteria	35:17
36:4	amendments	26:13	9:6,9	40:6
advocate	6:25		10:14	Bay
29:13	37:17	appreciates	14:4,5,6,	7:16,17
36:24	37.17	24:2	14.4,5,8,	15:14,17
	Amy	approval	18:18,25	16:22
Africa	36:8,10	20:24	·	31:16,17,
21:22	analysis	35:21	20:16	23 38:14,
afternoon	7:24		23:24	16 41:21,
3:3,6	/ : ∠4	Arthur	24:25	23 42:2,5
6:16 8:16	Analytical	15:13	26:8,22,	23 42:2,3
13:25	6 : 18	aspects	25 27:21	bays
43:6		10:3	28 : 7	40:2
43.0	apartment	24:23	29:21	
	44:9	24.20		



beach	16:17	36:12,13,	changing	classificat
7:7 14:23	1	16 37:2,	17 : 18	ion
16:12,14	bounds 16:22	11,24	20:22	7:15 10:7
17:6	10:22	38:5,9,	ah ann a l	-1ifi
19:12,19,	brackish	18,22	channel 35:8	classificat
22 44:9	30:8	42:3	33:0	ions 3:21
eaches	Bridge	canoe	Chief	3.21
7:6 43:12	31:18	21:16	6 : 17	clean
7.0 43.12	41:24	22:16	circumvent	15:24
ehalf		22.10	20:9 28:9	39:2
5:10,12	bring	capture	35:19	cleaner
8:25 11:6	43:11	27:23		18:21
13:2,19	Bronx	card	citizens	34:6
23:11	11:15	4:23 5:2,	29:12	40:24
peneficiary	15:13	4,5 45:9	city	
44:23	36:6	·	8:6 11:15	clear
	38:12	cards	13:18	25:10
penefit		4:23	15:6,19	26:2
42:13	bud	carried	21:21,25	clearing
44:23	44:21	15 : 17	22:5,6	23:4
oi-state	build		23:3,7,19	a3 .
8:19	36:24	case	24:12	Clearwater
	businesses	22:10	25:3,16	39:10
oillion	29:13	case-by-	29:7,16	club
23:4	29.13	case	30:11	21:16
oiotic		17:21	31:12,14	22:16
18:25	С	26:20	37:8,10	clues
oit		32:24	39:9,19	44:18
21:24	calculated	35:17	40:3	
21.21	19:5	40:6	41:19	Coalition
ooard		center	43:11,13	29:2 37:9
21:15	call	35:7	City's	coast
ooating	5:4,6		29:14	7:13 8:2
21:17,20	6:12	centered		
38:23	called	36:15	citywide	coastal
1.	44:9	cfu	29:9	7:7,11
bodies	calling	27:14	class	10:6,14
9:14 17:3	8:10		7:15,17	11:5,6,15
22:12,14	0:10	chance	10:6,7	16:7,13,
23:7	calls	44:4	15:5,6,11	18 17:6
32:21	40:14	change	22:18	25:18
37:24	canal	17:20	24:3,4	code
oody	15:15	38:25	25:18	19:12
39:3	22:16	40:4	31:10	Codes
43:18	23:5	changed		3:15 7:2
			classes	



800.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com

IY STATE DEPT	I OF ENVIRONE	INTAL CONSERV	ATION	index: coilcriteri
coli	5:18,20,	9:4	29:5 37:6	correct
7:10 14:5	23 6:8,9,	concerns	considers	5:7 8:11
20:8	10 13:20,	31:2	16:20	14:10
25:24	22 23:13	31;2		Course di 1
30:7 38:9	45 : 13	concur	33 : 6	Council
40:16		36:3	consistent	13:10
41:5	commercial	conditions	24:19	36:6
1	11:3	27:23	25:12	count
coliform	committee	34:14	26:4	40:22
19:6 28:4	29:2	35:5	27:6,15	County
30:7	communities	30.0	28:17	9:8
34:22		conducted	31:8 38:7	9.0
35:11	11:6,7	8:3 9:21	42:22	court
37:16	community	Coney		5:17,24
coliform-	21:17,20	15:16	constituent	6 : 2
based	36:17,24	22:17	S	
30:4	38:23,24		11:10	cover
	·	31:22	contact	41:17
coliforms	community-	38:15	7:18	covered
10:18,19	based	42:4	10:9,20	15:7
42:12	9:16 29:9	44:7,17	11:19	42:11
colleagues	comparabili	confirms	14:22	
45:3	ty	10:16	16:4	coversly 31:14
	9:13	confuse	19:24	31:14
collected		33:7	24:16,21	crazy
12:22	<pre>comparable 30:7</pre>	33:1	25:14,22	22:21
colony	30:7	confusing	26:5,9,18	create
33:15,16,	compared	11:23	27 : 25	11:22
21	30:6	Connecticut	28:19	11.22
1	compilation	8:19,22	30:6 31:9	creek
colony-	3:15	0.19,22	32:19,20	15:14,15,
forming	3.13	connection	35:6,14	16 31:22
27:12	complete	44:25	37:20	38:13,14,
combined	9:19,24	connections	39:25	15 42:2,
30:12	completion	44:5,14,	41:15	3,4 44:7,
38:19	9:23	16	43:14	17,24
	J. 23	± 0	45:14	45:6
commend	complex	Conservancy		criteria
39:11	11:23	36:12,13	contaminate	11:13,20
comment	44:9	37:11	d	12:3,13
3:11,13	compliance	38:5	24:17	
4:2,11,	18:10	Conservatio	27 : 25	14:19,20
19,20	19:25		contaminati	15:25
5 : 16	34:16	n 3:9 6:21	on	18:2,12,
45:11,12	34:16	3.9 0:41	29:22	14 23:23
•	30:22	Conservatio	41.44	24:20,24
•				クト・エコ
comments 3:23 4:5	component	n's	core	25:13 26:5,11,



14 27:3,	40:25	15 : 21	DEP	development
7,9,11,	deadline	decades	44:11,17	36:14
16,17 28:4,5,	6:10 9:22	21:9	Department	deviate
12,18	DEC	decades-old	3:8,24	20:19
29:25	4:24	32:3	4:4,12	Diegel
30:23	5:13,25		6 : 20	21:13,14,
30.23	6:21,24	decision	19:11	15
33:20,25	8:3,5,7	37:12	23:22	
34:8	9:21	39:11	24:18	direct
34:0 37:19	11:8,17	decisions	25:11	21:18
	14:18,25	12:20	26:3,7,	43:13
42:23,24	16:11		12,19,21,	directed
criterion	17:16,20	dedicated	24 27:5,	15:22
30:10	18:5,15	23:16	8,10	32:4
34:17	20:6,10,	29:15	28:2,13,	42:16
ami bi aa l		36:13	15 29:4	42.10
critical	19,20,25	deemed	37 : 5	direction
38:21	22:24	14:13		24:7
csos	29:20		Department'	39:15
35:9	30:24	default	S	directives
	31:7	17:12	4:7,10,17	26:2
cumbersome	32:11,14,	defending	23:13	20.2
12:5	22 33:6,	23:16	24:22	directly
current	9,10,14	23.10	25:5,20	11:2 15:8
19:6,9	34:2,10	Defense	deserve	18:11
32:5	35:2 , 15,	13:10	39:2	21:24
40:14	21,23	36:6	42:14	22:17,18
42:10,18	38:6	define		-1: -
,	40:4,11	33:10	designated	director
	41:12	33.10	14:21	13:9 43:7
D	42:20	defined	16:2	directors
	43:16	16:13	designed	21:15
data	44:10,24	17:12	24:15	-1: -
44:3,13	DEC's	32:19,23	27:4	directs
	19:15	35 : 16	30:23	12:13
dated		39:22	37 : 21	discretion
15:2	30:14,18	4- 6 :: . :	57.21	32:15
dates	37:12	definition	detailed	1:
32:14	39:11	11:12	13:21	discussed
	DEC-DEFINED	14:24	determinati	28:7
day	12:2	16:15,19		disinfection
45:14	32:10	17:2,5	on 35.21	n
days	38:2	26:17	35:21	20:11
12:15		demonstrati	determine	28:10
18:15	decade	ng	34:16	35:22
19:10,13	22:6	28:10	determines	
34:12	decade-old	38:21		
J4•T∠		O O • E I	20:11	



dissolved-	16:20,21,	ensuring	21:10	evaluating
oxygen	23,25	29:15	22:11	12:9
24:5	31:18,19	Enterococcu	25:10	events
diverse	38:11	s	32:3	18:19
29:8	41:23	7:13 9:7,	35:20	10.19
	1	·	40:9,18	exceed
38:24	echo 13:23	10 10:13, 16 11:18	41:7	34:20
Division	13:23		42:15	exceedances
6:14,19	ecology	14:5 20:8	TD3 (-	33:3,5
dollars	43:11	22:10,23	EPA's	33.3,3
23:4	Edie	23:24	14:19	exceeds
23.4	39:8	25:17	17:25	14:19
doorway	39:8	27:11,17	18:11	exception
45:16	Edith	30:3,5	24:19	40:12
Daman	39:6	31:8	25 : 8	40:12
Doran	3 4	33:14	26:2,10	excluded
23:10,11	educating	34:11,25	27:2,8	16:21
draft	36:21	37:14	28:17	17:4
12:21	education	38:8	29:24	
32:25	29:12	40:16	31:8	excludes
40:3	43:9 45:5	41:2,6	32:15	16:16
		43:16	34:7	exclusion
Dredgers	effectively	entire	37 : 18	32:6
21:16	24:13	20:14	38:7	
drinking	effects	38:20	42:22	existing
23:18	35:8	43:2	Equal	12:3
•		43.2	Equal 6:8	28:3,5,12
due	elected	entities	0.0	29:20
11:11	36:23	34:9	equally	34:21
34:20	empowering	environment	16:9	35:11,13,
duration	36:17	8:20	Erin	24 42:11
12:9,14	·	0.20	23:9,11	explanation
	encompassin	environment	23:9,11	18:7
	- g	al	erodes	
E	39:16	3:9 6:20	28:5	explanatory
	endanger	7:6 13:11	essential	6:22
eagerly	33 : 7	29:5	40:21	explicitly
9:18		30:21	40.21	14:25
	engage	36:19,22	Esthete	15 : 3
earlier	32:18	37:5	9:8	
15:5	39:23	44:23		exposure
easily	engaged		estuarine	18:24
18:20	38:24	EPA	31:12	extend
34:5		9:11	estuary	38:6
	ensure	12:12,17	11:21	
	34:13	14:25		Eymund
east				
east 11:16 15:12	40:21	15:2,7,21 20:24	evaluated 12:18	21:12,14



	14:6 30:9	flexibility	fresh	govern
F	feel	7:23	7:11 30:8	19:4
	22:8	floating	freshwater	Governor's
facilitatin	37:16	44:16	9:12	31:15
s S			25:25	41:21
36:14	fellow	flow		
	36:4	11:21	Friends	Gowanus
acilities	FIB	Flushing	39:9	15:15
10:25	10:22	15:14	front	21:16
acing	11:18,25	16:22	4:3,25	23:4
38:25	12:5	38:14	Fund	31:21
act	figure	42:2	8:19	36:12,16,
40:17	44:11	follow	0:19	18 37:2,
40:17	44•11	18:18,19		11,23
42.10	file	10.10,19	G	38:5,9,
ail	5:25	forces		18,22 39:2 42:3
35 : 8	fill	21:23	gaming	39:2 42:3
ails	4:22 5:3	forming	34:10	grassroots
25:15		33:15,17,		36:20
	filled	21	General	great
all	5:2,5		15:24	7:12 16:7
25:8	final	forward	geographic	
30:21	9:23	5:3,8,9	31:5	greater
37:18	finalizing	8:12 14:9	37 : 23	10:10
amilies	21:4	fouled	geometric	green
43:12	21.4	15:18	12:11	29:18
avor	finally	31:24	18:9,13,	36:25
44:3	14:3 20:3	38:17	16.5,15,	ATT 0.1310
11.0	28:2	42:8	8,14	<pre>group 5:10,11</pre>
ecal	find	found	27:11	8:15 29:8
9:5,9,11	44:13	44:7,18	33:16,22	0.15 29.0
10:13,18	e:a:	,	34:3,8	groups
19:6	finding 44:4	Fourth	40:15,18	9:17
23:24	44.4	28:2	41:8,10	29:11
28:4 30:4	fish	free	43:22	growth
34:22	43:20	21:17		38:25
35:11	fishable	frequency	give	
37:16	29:15	19:4,7,9	6:15	
42:12		27:21	18:14	H
ederal	fishing	34:11,23	GM	
3:20 7:6	11:4	35:2	12:14	H20
14:23	fit	40:17,19	good	43:8
16:15	14:23	·	3:3 6:16	hand
17:6		frequent	8:16 43:6	5 : 22
federally	fix 22:14	40:20	45:2	0.22



6:24		improvement	industry
20:24	I	s	11:4
hearings		22 : 7	information
4:5,12	identify	include	4:13 8:2,
hald	5:11 8:15	15:9 19:3	4 45:15
	35 : 8	20:5	infrastruct
4.7,12,13	idontifying	41:24	ure
higher		included	24:13
			28:25
25 29:11	-	·	29:18
home			36:25
	16 , 25		
	illness		initial
			23:21
20:25			inland
Hudson			16:10
15 : 12	38:25	31:11	
23:16,19	impact	including	innovative
31:19	7:23,24	_	36:25
38:10		·	institutio
41:25	_		s
harma	3/:24		29:11
_	implement		insufficie
22:1	39:20	_	
human	important	19:16	t 25:10
10:18	_	incorrect	23:10
14:13	11.7 14.0	12:20	intended
18:24	importantly	indofonaih]	18:5
27:2,4,9,	30:9		19:17
20 28:11,	impose		interest
19 30:24	_	30:19	23:7
33:13		indicating	
35:23		10:14	internation
37:21		indicator	al
38:4			13:11
humans	28:5		interval
	impractical		27:18
	12:6		
			investment
43:12			10:24
Hutchinson	33:10		23:6
	improve		investment:
	7:4 11:2		10:25
41:25	improved	37:14	Taland
	improved		Island
	11:13	individual	8:6,23
	20:24 hearings 4:5,12 held 4:7,12,15 higher 22:10,19, 25 29:11 home 38:23 hope 20:25 Hudson 15:12 23:16,19 31:19 38:10 41:25 huge 22:7 human 10:18 14:13 18:24 27:2,4,9, 20 28:11, 19 30:24 33:13 35:23 37:21 38:4 humans 39:17 humans 39:17 hundreds 43:12 Hutchinson 15:13 38:13	Nearings	Nearings



June 07, 2018 Index: happen..lsland

11:7	keeping	left	8:14,16,	making
15:16	5:25	11:11	17	5 : 17
16:24	kids	15:10,11	living	Malina
22:17	43:15	letter	43:21	43:5,6,7
31:15,16,	45:5	15:2,4		43.3,0,7
17,21,22	43.3	13.2,4	local	Mamaroneck
38:12,15	Kill	letters	13:14	8:21
41:21,22,	15 : 13	15 : 5	35:9	managed
23 42:4	kind	level	locations	45:6
44:7,17	13:20	34:20	27:22	
·			35:3	management
issues	knowledge	levels	50.5	11:23
13:16	42:18	9:8	long	12:6
20:25	Korin	13:13,14	8:6,23	29:17
36:22	28:21,23	18:18	11:7	manager
	20.21,23	34:4	16:23	8:18
J		Levine	31:17	36:11
	L	13:6,7,8	34:6	20:11
_		13:0,7,0	41:23	mandated
Jamaica	lake	light	1	35:2
15:17	16:7	26:17	longer	mannad
31:17,23	10:1	1:	12:8,14	mapped
38:16	Lakes	limit	loudly	18:20
41:22	7:12	11:24	5 : 19	March
42:5	1	26:8 32:8	_	7:22 15:4
job	language 17:19	33:15	Lower	marine
7 : 24	17:19	35:12	7:17	
	large	41:7		7:13 9:12
jobs	44:9	limitation	М	11:22
11:5	1	26:16		31:11
Judge	larger	28:6 40:7	_	match
3:8	18:20		made	14:19
J.0	law	limitations	22:6	
June	3:7,20	26:12	25:10	matches
3:24 4:8	16:16	limited	mailing	22:4
6:11	-	17:11	3:25	Matt
45:12	Lawrence	25:2		43:4,7
justificati	13:5,8	30:17	maintain	
on	leading	31:5	36:25	matter
34 : 24	36:20	37:22,25	major	4:15 16:5
34.24			31:13	19:19
	leaves	limits	41:16	23:21
K	15:20	16:11		Matters
	31:19	17:24	make	28:25
Vantrari te	32:2	20:17	5:2 6:6,	
Kantrowitz	leaving	25:24	13 39:20	maximum
39:7,8,9	37 : 23	Linderoth	45:10	14:12
	J. • 20	Linderotu		



Mcbride	27:13,14	mouth	Newtown	10:2 11:9
3:2,7 8:9	33:16,17,	16:17	15 : 15	
13:5	22	17 : 4	31:22	
21:12			38 : 15	0
23:9	million	multiple	42:3	
28:21	23:19	12:5		object
36:8 39:6	minimize		nip	12:8
43:4 45:8	12:19	N	44:20	obscured
	minimum		non-coastal	40:23
eans 22:19	12:22	narrow	16:18	40.23
	19:4,7,9	11:11	nonetheless	obtain
25:2 30:17	27:5	16:15	18:15	20:10
	30:10	10.13	10.15	35:19
43:22	34:11,22	nation	nonprofit	occur
easure		29:23	43:8	34:4
18:9	mispronounc	national	norm	
easured	е	13:11	22:25	Ocean
19:25	5:7 8:11	29:10		31:17
17.20	mission		north	41:22
easures	8:22	nationally-	31:20	October
9:7 18:21		applicable	38:11	17:13
eet	modern	30:2	note	26:15
3:19 4:13	31:5	natural	16:11	32:13
7:5	43:17	13:10	18:4	35 : 16
	modernizing	29:16	19:11	39:23
eetings	14:4	36:5 45:7	44:15	
4:15 8:4		,		odds
member	modificatio	near-shore	noted	18:11
28:24	n	19:23	45:18	off-season
•	17:14	27:23	notice	12:4
ember-	Molly	35 : 4	7:20	offer
upported	3 : 7	Neck	number	13:20
23:15	monitor	31:18	12:18	13.20
embers	23:17	41:24	18:21	offered
6:12 9:2	۷. ۱ /	needed		17 : 25
13:3,17,	monitoring	17:19	Numeral	offering
18	9:3,5,6,	31:6	7:16	13:21
ethods	17 12:6	21.0	numeric	
30:4	27 : 20	neighborhoo	17:24	office
JU•4	months	d	18:10	4:7,10,
ıg	44:8,11	36:20		16,17
38:19		neighborhoo	NYC	8:5,7
icrophone	morning	ds	31:6 43:8	offices
3:5 5:9	22:22	29:19	NYC's	8:20
8:13	Motzny		44:22	
	36:9,10	network		official
milliliters	00.0,10	36 : 15	NYSWQS	3:14



officials	42:11	permit	portion	Prior
36:23	overflow	10:23	7:17	4:11
older	38:20	permittees	possibility	problem
42:17		20:9 28:8	12:19	24:14
	overflows	35 : 18		41:16
open	24:11		poverty	
36:15	30:12	personal	21:22	procedures
45:12		14:24	powerful	20:10
opportunity	P	Peter	21:25	28:9
8:24		8:13,17	practices	35:19
12:25	~ m	philosophy	29:17	proceedings
13:19	p.m. 45 : 18	40:7	29.17	3:1 4:1
23:12	40.10	40.7	preferred	5:1 6:1,4
29:3	parenthetic	place	9:11	7:1 8:1
35:25	al	10:9	prepare	9:1 10:1
37:3 43:3	7:7	15:20	6:2	11:1 12:1
opposed	part	32:2		13:1 14:1
30:3	6:25	40:23	presentatio	15:1 16:1
30.3	16:23	places	n	17:1 18:1
option	40:2	24:12	6 : 15	19:1 20:1
18:4,6,14			presenting	21:1 22:1
options	parts	plan	5 : 12	23:1 24:1
17:25	3:13,18	12:3	. 1.	25:1 26:1
	22:12,13	pleased	presiding	27:1 28:1
oral	pathogens	9:25	3:10	29:1 30:1
6:6,9	10:17		prevent	31:1 32:1
order		points	34:9	33:1 34:1
5:5	pee 21:23	14:17	previously	35:1 36:1
12:15,19	21:23	polluted	18:5 28:7	37:1 38:1
24:13	people	15 : 18		39:1 40:1
oranizatio	19:18,23	16:8	primary	41:1 42:1
organizatio "	21:6,23	22:13	7:18	43:1 44:1
n 13 : 12	24:8,16	30:12	10:8,20	45:1
23:15	27:24	31:25	11:19	process
70.TA	32:18	38:17	14:22	9:24
organizatio	35:5 39:2	pollution	16:4	17 : 17
ns	41:14	18:25	24:20	20:21
21:7	percent	24:9	25:14,22	
29:10	34:18	39:18	26:5,9,18	program
36 : 5		42:9	28:19	8:17,19
37:10	period	43:24	30:6 31:9	9:6,10
outdated	18:22		32:18	44:24
28:12	34:6,19	pooh	35:14	programs
29:21	40:5,15,	21:23	37:20	9:5 43:9
30:3	25 41:4	poor	principles	45:5
37:15	45 : 12	21:23	15:24	
J / • ± J				



project	32:8	protective	public-	40:5,11
36:11,21	34:24	14:13	speaker	42:23
·	35:10,18	18:4,6,17	4:23	
promotes	37:6,17	27:9 31:6		Queens
39:4	40:3	33:19,24	publication	9:9 11:15
romulgate	41:17,19	41:7,10	9:18	question-
34:24			published	and-answer
roper	proposes 32:11	<pre>provide 10:10</pre>	7:21,25	4:21
20:21	33:9	12:15	purpose	questions
	33:9	18:13	3:17 4:18	4:14
roposal	proposing	21:17	7:3 20:14	5:13,15
10:2	21:19	23:13	7.5 20.14	J.1J, 1J
15:10,20	23:22	26:11	purposes	quote
16:12,19	protect		12:7 42:8	20:13
17:12,19	7:4 8:23	32:16 34:22	put	quote/
18:3,8	11:2 16:2	34:22 36:2 45:4	32:20	unquote
19:3	19:17	30:2 43:4	39:25	16:12
20:13	20:12,15,	provided	03.20	1011
24:2	17 21:5	26:13		
31:19	22:9	providing	Q	R
32:2	23:6,18	10:19		
40:14	24:8,16	10.19	quality	rainfall
42:10	26:25	provision	7:5 8:17	18:19
oropose	27:4,19	20:18	9:3,16,20	34:5
39:12	28:11,19	provisions	11:2	40:23
	30:24	20:4	12:9,13,	
proposed	32:6		24 13:15,	rains
3:17 4:6,	33:13	public	16 15:25	15:19
20 6:23,	35:23	3:10,12	18:2,12	24:10
25 7:4,9,	37:21	4:4,11,	21:19	31:25
14,20 8:4	38:4	13,18,19	22:4,7,	38:18
10:3	39:17	5 : 16	22,25	42:9
11:24	42:19,20	6:13,24	23:23	raise
12:10	43:14	8:3	24:18,20,	5 : 15
14:15		10:11,19	24 25:12,	
18:16	protecting	11:3	13 26:3,	rapid
21:3	18:24	12:16	5,11	38:25
23:14	protection	19:17	27:3,7,16	Raritan
24:6,23	10:10,20	20:12,15,	28 : 18	31:15
25:7,9,	12:16	17,23	29:6,25	41:21
15,23	30:21	21:5,6	30:10,23	rationale
26:17,22	40:11	29:3 32:6	32:16	20:13
27:19	42:14	36:2 37:4	33:3,20,	26:13
28:4,14		39:4	25 34:7	20.13
29:5	protections	42:19	37:7,13,	raw
30:14,20	35 : 24		19 38:6,	24:10
31:4,14			22 39:12	44:16



800.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com

	TOP ENVIRONE			
read	30:22	18:2,11	reliable	36 : 5
5:23	40:9,18	24:19,24	30:15	rest
reading	recommends	25:13 , 18	37:14	13:25
5:20	12:17	26:4,10	relies	13.23
5.20	12.1	27:2,7,16	18:8	restore
readings	record	28:17	10.0	8:22
40:24	5:17,23	29:24	rely	restored
reason	6:4,7	30:22	17:24	21:8
18:3	36:3	32:24	29:20	21.0
	45:11	33:10		result
19:15		35:14,16	remaining	10:22
reasoning	recreate	39:23	29:23	24:4
34:21	21:7	42:7,22	remind	
	recreating		45:11	results
reasons	16:5	reflect		35:4
19:21	19:18	32:5 35:5	reporter	revert
receive		42:17	5:17,24	12:3
4:5	recreation	reflecting	6 : 2	
_	7:13,19	8:2	representat	Review
receives	11:19	0.2	ive	9:19,22
38:19	12 : 2	reflection	34:14	revise
recent	14:22,24	10:8		26:21,24
10:15	16:13		representin	,
24:15	17:6,11,	reflects	g	revised
27:3	15,21	30:5	8:14	7:22
27.5	22:12	region	require	richest
recently	24:21	4:7,10,	12:4	21:25
15:3	25:14,23	16,17	20:23,24	21.20
reclassific	26:6,9,18	8:5,7		river
ation	28:20	37 : 25	34:8	11:16
10:5 14:2	29:10		41:12	15:12,13
10:5 14:2	30:6 31:9	regional	required	16:17,20,
reclassify	32:10,13,	13:14	30:10	21,23,25
24:3	15,20	29:9		17:4
	33:2,6,	38:10	requirement	23:16
recognize	20,24	Register	41:4	31:19,20
20:16	34:7	7:21,25	requirement	36:6
recognized	34:7 37:19,20		s	38:10,11,
14:7	•	regulated	3:20 7:5	12,13
	38:2	34:9		41:25
recommendat	39:5,22	regulations	residents	
ion	43:20	3:15 7:3	11:14	Riverkeeper
25:8	recreationa	19:16	23:20	23:12,14,
recommendat	1		resilient	25 36:5
ions	- 7 : 11	25:20	36:14	rivers
38:8	10:15	regulatory	00.11	17:2
	12:12	7:22,23	Resources	21:24
recommended	14:20	•	13:10	31:13
9:11 27:8	14 7 7 11			



ROCEEDINGS Y STATE DEP		NTAL CONSERV	/ATION	June 07, 20 Index: rollshorte
40:2	run-off	21	6:14,17	38:24
coll	15:19	sanitary	SD	session
35:23	runoff	19:12	15:6,11	3:11,13
	30:13		22:19	4:19,21
oman	31:25	Sate	31:10	5:16
7:16	38:18	24:21	01.10	0.10
oom	30.10	Save	season	sessions
4:3,25	runs	8:18,25	12:2	4:13
,	22:15	9:4,15	17:11,15,	set
ound	RWQC	10:4	21 20:7	9:22 22:3
10:24	31:9	11:17	26:10,18,	25:2
43:19,21	J1 • J	13:3 36:7	20 32:11,	30:17
ule		13:3 30:7	13,20,24	30:17
7:4,9,14	S	SB	33:2,4,7,	setting
8:4 9:23		7:18 10:7	10 35:14,	22:9
	~~	24:4	17 38 : 3	
10:3	SA	25:19	39:22	severely
11:24	25 : 18		43:20	37:22
12:10,21	safe	scheduled	10.20	sewage
14:15	14:13	4:4	seasonal	15 : 18
16:14		science	9:5	24:11
17:8,10,	safety	10:16	26:11,14,	31:24
15,18	30:6	16:9	15 28:6	38:17,20
20:2,4,15	saline-	24:15	32:16,21	39:18
21:3	surface	27:3 32:5	•	42:9
23:14	25:21	43:17	secondary	
24:6,23	20.21	43:1/	10:20	43:24
25:10,15,	salt	scientific	Section	44:12,16
23 26:22	30:8	17 : 2	6:18	sewer
27:19	sample	42:18		30:12
28:4,14	-		seeking	44:5,25
31:4,14	12:21,22	scientifica	17:18	
32:8,25	34:14	11 y	select	shell
35:10,12,	samples	30:19	18:6	11:4
18 41:19	12:18	scientifica		shore
10 41.19	18:21	11y-	selecting	41:13
ule's	19:10,13	indefensibl	18:3	
26:17	22:23	e	senior	shores
l amalı i na	34:6,12,	25:6	13:8	31:21
ulemaking	13,15,19	25.0	10.0	38:12
3:18 4:6,	41:3,13	scientists	sense	short
20 6:23		29:12	39:20	6:22 25:8
7:20 29:6	sampling	scono	serve	30:21
37:6	19:4,21	scope		
ules	27:22	17:7 25:9	21:20	37:18
3:15 7:3	34:11,23	31:5	served	shorten
19:6,9	35:3	37 : 23	36:19	20:7
21:18	40:15,17,	Scott		26:20
~ T • T O	, ,		serves	



35:15	9:4	43:23	19,20	statements
shot	sounds	spoken	31:6,7	6:13
44:20	22:21	15:8	32:3,10,	Staten
11.20	22.21	10.0	11,17,22	31:16,21
sick	source	spread	33:3,11,	38:12
16:6	11:4	34:13	12 34:22,	41:22
sign-in	south	staff	25 35:12,	11.22
4:2,24	21:22	5:14	13,20	states
,	31:15,16	43:15	37:7,13	12:13,17
similar	41:20,21		38:6	29:23
19:21	11.20,21	stake	39:12,14,	statewide
28:6	space	21:18	21 40:6,	16:4
similarly	36 : 15	stakeholder	12,16	T O • 4
18:8 19:8	SPDES	s	41:2,17	stations
25:23	10:23	37:11	42:12,14,	9:8
37:16			17,21	statistical
	speak	standard	43:18	12:11
simply	4:22 5:8,	14:12		27:13
6:2 14:10	19 8:13	15 : 21	start	33:18,23
20:11	speaker	18:10	8:10	34:16
sincerely	13:23	20:5,22	started	
20:25	17:10	22:4,8,	3 : 3	41:8,11
20.25	45:9	20,24,25		stays
site	40.9	23:2	state	45:12
23:5	speakers	40:19	3:8,16	ataanina
situation	5:4 8:10	41:6	6:20 7:21	steering 29:2
11:23	13:24	43:17	9:17,20	29:2
11.20	speaking		10:15	step
size	5:10	standards	11:20	14:9 24:
12:21,23	J.10	3:19 6:18	12:3,24	39:14
slowly	species	7:10,12	13:13,17	40:8
5:19	37:15	9:21	14:21	atarrand
J.1J	specificall	12:24	15:10,22,	steward 36:19
smooth		14:7	23 21:10	30:19
43:23	y 7:9 10:4	16:3,9	22:6 23:3	stewardship
Sound	11:14	17:23	25:4,15,	36:17
8:18,23,		22:9,23	20 26:6	Ctonon
25 9:15	12:10	23:6	28:20	Stoner
10:5	13:15	24:5,14,	29:4,22	6:14,16,
11:17	27:10	18,25	31:10	17
13:3	31:2	25:6,7,	32:4	stop
	spending	12,17,25	37:5,21	45 : 4
16:24	23:3	26:3,9,	39:19	eu du eu aanna u - 4
31:17		23,25	42:16	stormwater
36:7	spikes	27:6,21		28:25
41:23	18:17,20	28:8,16	statement	29:17
Sound's	34:4	29:6,20	5:3 6:22	30:13
	40:22	30:2,15,	7:23,24	45 : 6



straights	sufficient	29:8,14	37 : 4	total
16:24	14:10	37:9	testing	10:18
streams	15:25	swimmable	19:12	28:3
11:21	40:17	29:15	30:4	35:11
11:21		29:13	43:19	42:12
strengthen	suggested	swimmable-		
21:3	41:7	water-	44:2,19	transcript
strengtheni	suitable	quality	45:2,7	6:3
-	25:22	22:20	thousands	treatment
ng 11:8			43:9	10:25
11:0	sum 28:15	system 34:10	threshold	tributaries
strict	20:13		12:11	23:17
20:9	summary	43:11		
35:19	14:18		27:13	31:13,23
~ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +		T	33:18,23	38:16
stringency 17:23	superior 11:25		34:17	42:5
11:23	11:72	A - 3- 3 -	41:9,11	Triennial
stringent	supply	table	Throgs	9:19,22
24:4	23:18	4:3,24	31:18	
33:13		45:15	41:24	triggering
38:3	support	talking		10:23
39:16	6:18 10:4	18:23	tidal	trips
42:24	11:3 16:2		16:24	22:16
	22:24	Tangtrakul	31:24	
strongly	36:3	28:22,23,	time	troubling
10:4	supported	24	24:10	26:16
Structure	22:11	teach	34:7	Tuesday
13:9		45:5	40:5,7	4:8
	supporting		45:18	
students	14:2	teaching		typically
36:21	supportive	43:10	timing	19:2 33:6
43:12	10:12	temporary	17 : 9	42:8
STV		18:17	Title	
12:14	supports		3:14 7:2	Ū
	10:5	tend		
subject	37:12	20:5	today	
6:24	39:4	terms	3:12 4:9,	unacceptabl
17:14	surface	17 : 7	22 5:6	e
25:4,17	3:21		6:5,7	40:10
30:18		test	8:25	unilateral
submission	surrounding	43:16	13:2,21	17:16
3:25 6:10	33:9	testify	22:3 44:6	
	41:18	8:24	45:17	unilaterall
submit	sustainable	13:20	todarria	У
6:7 13:2	29:17		today's	17:20
29:3 37:4		testimony	4:18	20:6,19
	SWIM	13:2 29:3	5:16 , 18	26:19
45:13	28:25	36:2,4	6:24	20.19



Y STATE DEP	OI LIVINOINL			Index: Unitedye
35:15		21:7,8,19	18 31:7,	6:8
40:4	V	22:3,7,	10,11,12,	west
Turai de la la		12,14,22	24 32:2,7	
Jnited	** 11	23:7,18,	35:24	31:20
39:10	Valley	23 24:18,	37 : 20	38:11
units	23:19	20,24	40:2	Westchester
27:12	values	25:11,13	42:15,21	11:7
33:15,17,	41:7,10	26:3,4	43:25	15:14
21	•	27:2,7,16		38:13
	variable	28:13,18	watershed	42:2
unjustified	32:25	29:6,10,	8:23	
32:7	variance	25 30:10,	36:11,18	western
unnecessari	17:18		waterway	16:23
Ly	20:10,23	22 32:15,	35:7	wet
11:22	28:9	21 33:3,	33.1	18:18
	35:20	8,20,24	waterways	TO.TO
update	33:20	34:7	10:21	whitefish
9:20	vibrant	37:7,10,	11:12	22:17
10:2,24	36:15	13,19,24	23:25	
15:22		38:6,22	24:6,11	widely
32:4	vital	39:3,12	25:2,3,	39:16
42:16	29:14	40:5,10	16,19	wildlife
	volunteer	41:15,18	26:15	24:9
updated	36:21	42:23	27:25	39:17
8:2		43:11,14,		
upgrade		18	29:7,14	wishes
3:20 7:15	W		30:17	4:22
3.20 7.13		waters	37:8	work
Upper	wastewater	3:22	38:10	9:4
7:16	10:24	7:11,14,	39:13,18	13:12,14
upstream	10.24	16,19	42:6,25	43:15
_	watchdog	10:6,9,15	weaken	45:2
16:16	23:15	11:19,21,	20:4 28:3	45:2
17:3		22 14:3,	20.1 20.0	working
urban	water	5,16,21,	weakens	36:22
13:9,15	6:14,19	23,24	35:10	
·	7:5,11	15:6,8,9,	weaker	world
urge	8:17 9:3,	20 16:4,	17:24	22:2,4
24:17	14,16,20	8,13,14,	11.44	writing
26:2,21	11:2	16 17:7	weather	6:8 13:22
27 : 7	12:9,12,	19:2	18:18	
28:15	24 13:9,		34:14	written
urges	15 , 16	24:3,17,		3:23 4:2
11:17	14:20	21 25:14,	week	5:21 6:9,
	15:24,25	18,21	13:22	10 45:13
38:5	16:7 , 8	26:6,10	weeks	
user	17:3	28:20	33:9	
29:11	18:2,12	29:16		Y
	19:19,24	30:8,11,	weight	
	,,			year



800.211.DEPO (3376) EsquireSolutions.com

```
9:7 22:16
               39:23
  38:20
               42:7
  39:24
               43:10
  43:2,19,
  21
year-round
  20:6 23:2
  26:23
  28:16
  32:18
 33:8,11
 38:21
  39:5
  40:13
years
  14:8 21:8
York
  3:8,16,22
  4:8 6:19
  7:2,16,
 17,21,25
 8:21
 9:17,20
  10:6
  11:5,15
  12:23
 13:17,18
  15:6,9,19
  21:21
  23:19
 24:12
 25:3,16
 29:4,7,
  14,16,22
 30:11
  31:12,13
  37:4,7,10
  39:9,13,
  19 41:18,
  20 43:10,
  13
York's
  42:21
Yorkers
```

